

General Assembly

January Session, 2001

Raised Bill No. 6582

LCO No. 3169

Referred to Committee on Education

Introduced by: (ED)

1

AN ACT CONCERNING THE EDUCATION COST SHARING GRANT.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. Section 10-262f of the general statutes is amended by 2 adding subdivision (32) as follows:
- 3 (NEW) (32) "Target aid" means the sum of (A) the product of a town's base aid ratio, the foundation level and the town's total need 4 5 students for the fiscal year prior to the year in which the grant is to be 6 paid, (B) the product of a town's supplemental aid ratio, the 7 foundation level and the sum of the portion of its total need students 8 count described in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of subdivision (25) of 9 this section for the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year in which the grant 10 is to be paid, and the adjustments to its resident student count 11 described in subdivision (22) of this section relative to length of school
- 13 Sec. 2. Subdivision (6) of subsection (a) of section 10-262h of the 14 general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu

year and summer school sessions, and (C) the town's regional bonus.

15 thereof:

(6) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1996, and each fiscal year thereafter, a grant in an amount equal to the [sum of (A) the product of a town's base aid ratio, the foundation level and the town's total need students for the fiscal year prior to the year in which the grant is to be paid, (B) the product of a town's supplemental aid ratio, the foundation level and the sum of the portion of its total need students count described in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of subdivision (25) of section 10-262f for the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year in which the grant is to be paid, and the adjustments to its resident student count described in subdivision (22) of section 10-262f, relative to length of school year and summer school sessions, and (C) the town's regional bonus] amount of its target aid as described in subdivision (32) of section 10-262f, as amended by this act, except that [the] such amount [so determined] shall be [adjusted] capped in accordance with the following: (A) For the fiscal years ending June 30, 1996, June 30, 1997, June 30, 1998, and June 30, 1999, for each town, the maximum percentage increase over its previous year's base revenue shall be the product of five per cent and the ratio of the wealth of the town ranked one hundred fifty-third when all towns are ranked in descending order to each town's wealth, provided no town shall receive an increase greater than five per cent. (B) For the fiscal years ending June 30, 2000, and June 30, 2001, [June 30, 2002, and June 30, 2003,] for each town, the maximum percentage increase over its previous year's base revenue shall be the product of six per cent and the ratio of the wealth of the town ranked one hundred fifty-third when all towns are ranked in descending order to each town's wealth, provided no town shall receive an increase greater than six per cent. (C) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2002, a grant in an amount (i) determined pursuant to this subdivision adjusted in accordance with subparagraph (B) of this subdivision, or (ii) equal to the amount of the grant it received for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2001, plus fifty per cent of the difference, whichever is greater. (D) No such [adjustment shall be made] cap shall be used for the fiscal year ending June 30, [2004] 2003, or any fiscal year thereafter. (E) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1996, for each

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

town, the maximum percentage reduction from its previous year's base revenue shall be equal to the product of three per cent and the ratio of each town's wealth to the wealth of the town ranked seventeenth when all towns are ranked in descending order, provided no town's grant shall be reduced by more than three per cent. (F) For the fiscal years ending June 30, 1997, June 30, 1998, and June 30, 1999, for each town, the maximum percentage reduction from its previous year's base revenue shall be equal to the product of five per cent and the ratio of each town's wealth to the wealth of the town ranked seventeenth when all towns are ranked in descending order, provided no town's grant shall be reduced by more than five per cent. (G) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2000, and each fiscal year thereafter, no town's grant shall be less than the grant it received for the prior fiscal year. (H) In addition to the amount determined pursuant to this subdivision, a town shall be eligible for a density supplement if the density of the town is greater than the average density of all towns in the state. The density supplement shall be determined by multiplying the density aid ratio of the town by the foundation level and the town's total need students for the prior fiscal year provided, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2000, and each fiscal year thereafter, no town's density supplement shall be less than the density supplement such town received for the prior fiscal year. (I) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1997, the grant determined in accordance with this subdivision for a town ranked one to forty-two when all towns are ranked in descending order according to town wealth shall be further reduced by one and two-hundredths of a per cent and such grant for all other towns shall be further reduced by fifty-six-hundredths of a per cent. (J) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1998, and each fiscal year thereafter, no town whose school district is a priority school district shall receive a grant pursuant to this subdivision in an amount that is less than the amount received under such grant for the prior fiscal year. (K) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2000, and each fiscal year thereafter, no town whose school district is a priority school district shall receive a grant pursuant to this subdivision that provides an amount of aid per

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8485

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113114

115

116

118 one hundred fifty-third when all towns are ranked in descending order 119 to each town's wealth, provided no such town shall receive an increase 120 greater than five per cent or less than one per cent. (O) For the fiscal 121 year ending June 30, 2003, each town shall receive a grant in an 122 amount that is greater than the amount of the grant it received for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2002. The amount of the increase shall be 123 124 the greater of (i) the increase resulting from the removal of the cap 125 pursuant to subparagraph (D) of this subdivision, or (ii) the increase 126 resulting from the following adjustment: (I) The maximum percentage 127 increase over its previous year's base revenue shall be the product of 128 five per cent and the ratio of the wealth of the town ranked one 129 hundred fifty-third when all towns are ranked in descending order to 130 each town's wealth, (II) provided no such adjustment shall provide for 131 an increase greater than five per cent or less than one per cent.

132 Sec. 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2001.

Statement of Purpose:

To accelerate the removal of the cap in the formula and to provide an increase in the grant for towns that are fully funded under the formula and towns that would not otherwise be eligible for an increase.

[Proposed deletions are enclosed in brackets. Proposed additions are indicated by underline, except that when the entire text of a bill or resolution or a section of a bill or resolution is new, it is not underlined.]